

Heriatge listing - Street sign inlays Heriatge listing - Street sign inlays Proposal Title : This planning proposal seeks to heritage list street sign inlays on footpaths throughout the Proposal Summary : municipality. Dop File No: 16/01573 PP_2016_WOOLL_003_00 PP Number : **Proposal Details** Woollahra Date Planning 05-Jan-2016 LGA covered : Proposal Received : RPA : Woollahra Municipal Council Metro(CBD) Region : Section of the Act State Electorate : VAUCLUSE 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Policy **Location Details** Street : Postcode : Suburb : City: Land Parcel : Various **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Wayne Williamson Contact Name : 0292286585 Contact Number : Contact Email : wayne.williamson@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details Amelia Parkins** Contact Name : 0293917062 Contact Number : amelia.parkins@woollahra.nsw.gov.au Contact Email : **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : Land Release Data Release Area Name : Growth Centre : Consistent with Strategy Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :

•

erlatge listing - Stree				
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg		
		Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :	The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communication and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with. Metropolitan (CBD) has not met any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Director been advised of any meetings between other Department officers and lobbyists concerning this proposal.			
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	Some of Sydney's older suburbs have had the names of their streets set into the concrete footpaths believed to be part of civic beautification works of the 1930s. These works are thought to have been carried out using Depression-era labour, creating the dual benefits of gainful employment and municipal improvements. These street names now contribute to the aesthetics and character of the areas in which they feature. Suburbs outside the Woollahra LGA where street name inlays can be found include Petersham, Lewisham, Stanmore and Chatswood.			
	Marrickville Council has heritage listed street inlays in their LEP with the description; "Streets names in red letters marked in cement footpath paving in the former Municipality of Petersham". Willoughby Council has not heritage listed street inlays in their LEP.			
	A survey undertaken by Council in 2014 recorded 493 remaining street name inlays. Street name inlays are generally found at intersections or the entrance to parks with the greatest concentration of sites found in the suburb of Woollahra. The inlay is a stiff mortar mixture coloured with red ochre, filling the letter depression to a maximum depth of 7mm.			
	Council is seeking delegation to carry out plan-making functions under section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the EP&A Act). Delegation is considered appropriate as the matter is of local significance.			
External Supporting Notes :				
Adequacy Assessmer	nt	1000		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the ol	ojectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	The objectives of the planning	proposal are:		
	-	itage significance of street si ction of street sign inlays as		

items; and

3. to provide for the conservation of street sign inlays.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Council proposes to heritage list street sign inlays in Schedule 5 of the Woollahra LEP 2014, as group items identified by 7 suburb names. Heritage maps will be amended to identify the land to which each item is located.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
 2.3 Heritage Conservation
 3.1 Residential Zones
 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

ntified? SEPP No 1—Development Standards SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 64—Advertising and Signage SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain : The proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with any SEPPs and section 117 Directions.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment : Mapping is adequate

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :	Public consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the Gateway determination. Council suggests an exhibition period of 28 days.
	PROJECT TIMELINE Council has provided an indicative project timeline with a completion date of April 2016. The Department considers a 9 month project timeline for completion is adequate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : January 2015

Comments in relation Woollahra LEP 2014 was notified in January 2014. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is the result of a decision of the Council to investigate the heritage significance of street name inlays. The study was undertaken by Council's Strategic Planning Department and reported to Council's Urban Planning Committee.
	The study found that the 493 street name inlays throughout the footpaths and kerbs of the Municipality have historic and social significance for their construction in the 1930s as part of a civic beautification scheme that is thought to have taken advantage of surplus labour following the Great Depression.
	The proposal is the best means of achieving the objectives because statutory protection for the street sign inlays as a local heritage item can only be obtained in a local environmental plan.
	Due to the potential impacts on the activities of public service authorities, all public utilities operating in the Woollahra LGA should be consulted during public exhibition.
	It is noted that Council did not use an independent heritage consultant to investigate the potential heritage significance of the street sign inlays. In this instance, the heritage value is significant and the proposal should proceed to public exhibition.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The proposal is consistent with the relevant objectives and actions contained in A Plan for Growing Sydney as it will recognise and protect part of Sydney's heritage and has been conducted using best practice guidelines.
	The proposal is consistent with the Council's Community Strategic Plan - Woollahra 2025 – our community, our place, our plan. Notably, the proposal meets Goal 4 (Well planned neighbourhood) under the theme Quality places and spaces.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposal does not apply to land, or is in the vicinity of land, that has been identified as containing critical habitats or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.
	There are no likely environmental effects arising from listing of the street sign inlays as local heritage items.
	It is not anticipated that the proposal will have any negative social and economic effects which need to be addressed as part of the proposal.

Assessment Process						
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Office of Environment and Heritage Energy Australia Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services Sydney Water Telstra Transgrid Other					
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No				
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes				
If no, provide reasons :						
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No					
If Yes, reasons :						
Identify any additional stu	udies, if required. :					
If Other, provide reasons	1	×				
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required	:				
No internal consultation	n required					
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastrue	cture relevant	to this plan? No			
If Yes, reasons :						
Documents						
Document File Name			DocumentType N	ame	Is Public	
Planning Team Recom	mendation		1		n s	
Preparation of the planni	na proposal support	ed at this stag	e : Recommended with Cor	ditions		
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and 2.3 Heritage Con 3.1 Residential Z	l Industrial Zo servation ones				
Additional Information :			anning proposal proceed, si	ubject to the follo	wing	
	1. The planning proposal be publicly exhibited for a period of not less than 28 days.					
	2. Council is to c	onsult with				

Page 5 of 6

h

eriatge listing - Street sign inlays		
	• Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services;	
	• Sydney Water;	
	• Telstra;	
	National Broadband Network Co Limited;	
	• Optus;	
	• Jemena; and • Ausgrid.	
	* Ausynu.	
	3. A public hearing is not required.	
	4. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months from the date of the gateway determination.	
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal seeks to provide heritage protection to public property that has historical, historica and social significance.	
Signature:	Sheweep	
Printed Name:	Sangly Shewell Date: 28.1.16	